UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,491	09/05/2003	Dagmar Beyerlein	5618.P3653	8370	
James C. Schel	7590 05/14/2007 ller		EXAM	INER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			нин, ве	HUH, BENJAMIN	
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		05/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,491	BEYERLEIN, DAGMAR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin Huh	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	<u>arch 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-12 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	.					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/12/07. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/656,491

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 & 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakariassen (US Patent No. 5662107) in view of Sicurelli et al (US Patent No. 6162202) or Botich et al (US Patent No. 5800395) or Racz (US Patent No. 5817074). The Sakariassen reference discloses in figure 1 a system comprising a needle 1 with a first and second opening and having a lumen extending therethrough, a fluid pressure measurement assembly, comprising several elements including 5-1, 5-2, 4, 11, & 8, coupled with a portion of the needle to measure pressure of a fluid dispensed in said needle, said pressure measurement assembly fully capable of measuring a first, second, and third pressure while fluid is dispensed through a constant rate due to it's size, shape, and ability to work in the environment, a processor 12, and a display 13 as a visual feedback system indicator. The examiner would also like to note that the device is fully capable of infusing at a constant rate. Now even though Sakariassen does not explicitly disclose at least one aperture located a predetermined distance from said first opening attention is directed to Sicurelli or Botich or Racz. The Sicurelli, Botich, and Racz references all teach the use of an aperture located at a predetermined distance

Art Unit: 3767

from the front of an needle. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Sakariassen with the teachings of Sicurelli, Botich, or Racz in order to allow the needle to access other areas and draw in or out more fluid and to other directions/areas.

With respect to claims 5-7 & 9-10, wherein it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the area of the aperture, the distance from the front end, or the diameter of the needle in order to control the amount o flow and the location of outflow.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Huh whose telephone number is 571-272-8208. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,491

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BHH

BHH

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Kewi C. Sumons

Page 4